



claudia@isee.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Statistical properties and propagation characteristics of unusual high-frequency VLF emissions observed at Kannuslehto, Finland

Claudia MARTINEZ-CALDERON¹, J. K. Manninen², J. T. Manninen², and T. Turunen²

¹ISEE, Nagoya University; ²SCO, University of Oulu



March 17, 2021 44th Physics of Auroral Phenomena Seminar

aurora @ finland - nov. 2018

What are these 'unusually' high frequency emissions?

Sferics filtering

<u>Problem</u>: On the ground sferics are too strong, covering large frequency ranges, for our study they are 'noise'.

How to remove this noise? Creating a sferics filter!



[Manninen+2016, ERL]

What are these 'unusually' high frequency emissions?

Sferics filtering

<u>Problem</u>: On the ground sferics are too strong, covering large frequency ranges, for our study they are 'noise'.

How to remove this noise? Creating a sferics filter!

! Unexpected result !

There are waves at higher frequencies behind the sferics \rightarrow Are these usual VLF waves or a different phenomena? Usually waves travel along field lines and propagate below local gyrofrequency at KAN ~ 5-6 kHz.

More questions...

- Are 'unusual' waves coming from different lower source region?
- Are they linked to the waves observed below?
- If not, how are they propagating?
- What parameters are affecting their propagation to the ground?



[[]Manninen+2016, ERL]

Ground-based Measurements: Kannuslehto, Finland

Kannuslehto (KAN)

- ✓ Campaign-based VLF measurements since 2006
- ✓ Recently more or less continuous for 8–9 months/year
- ✓ Sampling frequency : 78.125 kHz

Location: Auroral latitudes

GLAT: 67.74° N GLON: 26.27° E MLAT: 64.4° N L-shell: 5.5







Total: ~8 months x 4 years (2017 – 2020) January – March + September – December → Receiver is <u>not</u> operational in the summer months!

Processed now: 24 months \Rightarrow 2017 - 2019

Available observation hours: 16 072 in 3 years (coverage ~ 92% of all possible hours)

'Unusual' wave hours: 377 in 3 years → 2.4% global occurrence rate



Total: ~8 months x 4 years (2017 – 2020) January – March + September – December → Receiver is <u>not</u> operational in the summer months!

Processed now: 24 months \Rightarrow 2017 - 2019

Available observation hours: 16 072 in 3 years (coverage ~ 92% of all possible hours)

'Unusual' wave hours: 377 in 3 years → 2.4% global occurrence rate

Primary Selection Criteria

 \rightarrow Any clear emission above 6 kHz (1-hr figures but counting by 10-min bins)



Total: ~8 months x 4 years (2017 – 2020) January – March + September – December → Receiver is <u>not</u> operational in the summer months!

Processed now: 24 months \Rightarrow 2017 - 2019

Available observation hours: 16 072 in 3 years (coverage ~ 92% of all possible hours)

'Unusual' wave hours: 377 in 3 years → 2.4% global occurrence rate

Primary Selection Criteria

 \rightarrow Any clear emission above 6 kHz (1-hr figures but counting by 10-min bins)

We also consider:

Frequency, type, number of bursts, other emissions etc...



Total: ~8 months x 4 years (2017 – 2020) January – March + September – December → Receiver is <u>not</u> operational in the summer months!

Processed now: 24 months \Rightarrow 2017 - 2019

Available observation hours: 16 072 in 3 years (coverage ~ 92% of all possible hours)

'Unusual' wave hours: 377 in 3 years → 2.4% global occurrence rate

Primary Selection Criteria

 \rightarrow Any clear emission above 6 kHz (1-hr figures but counting by 10-min bins)

We also consider:

Frequency, type, number of bursts, other emissions etc...



Separate the type by observing differences in spectral features in the 1-hour plots

Examples:

 Bursts: anything discrete, lasting a few minutes and not extending df ~ 1 kHz



Separate the type by observing differences in spectral features in the 1-hour plots

Examples:

- Bursts: anything discrete, lasting a few minutes and not extending df ~ 1 kHz
- Hissy: dt > few minutes + hiss looking ('noisy' bursts elongated in time or diffuse)



Separate the type by observing differences in spectral features in the 1-hour plots

Examples:

- Bursts: anything discrete, lasting a few minutes and not extending df ~ 1 kHz
- Hissy: dt > few minutes + hiss looking ('noisy' bursts elongated in time or diffuse)
- Q: similar to standard QP emissions but observed at higher frequencies, sometimes slanted in time or showing an S-shape.



Separate the type by observing differences in spectral features in the 1-hour plots

Examples:

- Bursts: anything discrete, lasting a few minutes and not extending df ~ 1 kHz
- Hissy: dt > few minutes + hiss looking ('noisy' bursts elongated in time or diffuse)
- Q: similar to standard QP emissions but observed at higher frequencies, sometimes slanted in time or showing an S-shape.
- Round: bursts that have rounded or oval shapes



Separate the type by observing differences in spectral features in the 1-hour plots

Examples:

- Bursts: anything discrete, lasting a few minutes and not extending df ~ 1 kHz
- Hissy: dt > few minutes + hiss looking ('noisy' bursts elongated in time or diffuse)
- Q: similar to standard QP emissions but observed at higher frequencies, sometimes slanted in time or showing an S-shape.
- Round: bursts that have rounded or oval shapes

For short, these 'unusual' high frequency emissions will be shortened as KHF in the following presentation.



Overall occurrence

 High occurrence between 05 – 11 UT corresponding to the morning sector (06.5 – 12.5 MLT)



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Overall occurrence

- High occurrence between 05 11 UT corresponding to the morning sector (06.5 – 12.5 MLT)
- Possibly secondary occurrence peak in the afternoon sector 14 – 15 UT (15.5 – 16.5 MLT)



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Overall occurrence

- High occurrence between 05 11 UT corresponding to the morning sector (06.5 – 12.5 MLT)
- Possibly secondary occurrence peak in the afternoon sector 14 – 15 UT (15.5 – 16.5 MLT)
- Highest occurrence during winter months (December to February)



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Overall occurrence

- High occurrence between 05 11 UT corresponding to the morning sector (06.5 – 12.5 MLT)
- Possibly secondary occurrence peak in the afternoon sector 14 – 15 UT (15.5 – 16.5 MLT)
- Highest occurrence during winter months (December to February)
- Almost no cases after 19 UT (20.5 MLT)
 → Are KHF not being generated or not propagating in the night time?



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Overall occurrence

 High occurrence between 05 – 11 UT corresponding to the morning sector (06.5 – 12.5 MLT)

General VLF occurrence rate is high at 07 – 13 MLT





Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Overall occurrence

 High occurrence between 05 – 11 UT corresponding to the morning sector (06.5 – 12.5 MLT)

General VLF occurrence rate is high at 07 – 13 MLT





Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

- \rightarrow similar generation mechanism as more usual lower frequency VLF emissions?
- \rightarrow In general, is it easier for VLF waves to reach the ground at this time?

- Most common type globally is hiss-like KHF or 'Hissy'
 - \rightarrow largest occurrence 05.5 14.5 MLT



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total KHF hours

- Most common type globally is hiss-like KHF or 'Hissy'
 - → largest occurrence 05.5 14.5 MLT
- Also common are discrete emissions
 - \rightarrow 'Bursts' or 'Round'
 - \rightarrow show clear early morning preference with the higher occurrences between 05.5 10.5 MLT



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total KHF hours

- Most common type globally is hiss-like KHF or 'Hissy'
 - → largest occurrence 05.5 14.5 MLT
- Also common are discrete emissions
 - \rightarrow 'Bursts' or 'Round'
 - \rightarrow show clear early morning preference with the higher occurrences between 05.5 10.5 MLT
- Most cases show no changes in frequency tones, followed by rising tones and small percentage of falling tones (not shown here)



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total KHF hours

Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Occurrence by type

We compared the lower frequency waves observed at the same time as the 'unusual' KHF waves.





Occurrence Chorus emissions w/ Discrete KHF



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Occurrence by type

 We compared the lower frequency waves observed at the same time as the 'unusual' KHF waves.
 → QP to KHF-QP (Q + S-shapped)





Occurrence Chorus emissions w/ Discrete KHF



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

- We compared the lower frequency waves observed at the same time as the 'unusual' KHF waves.
 - \rightarrow QP to KHF-QP (Q + S-shapped)
 - \rightarrow Hiss to KHF-Hissy





Occurrence Chorus emissions w/ Discrete KHF



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

- We compared the lower frequency waves observed at the same time as the 'unusual' KHF waves.
 - \rightarrow QP to KHF-QP (Q + S-shapped)
 - \rightarrow Hiss to KHF-Hissy
 - \rightarrow Chorus to KHF-D (discrete) and KHF-R (round)





Occurrence Chorus emissions w/ Discrete KHF



Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Occurrence by type

- We compared the lower frequency waves observed at the same time as the 'unusual' KHF waves.
 - \rightarrow QP to KHF-QP (Q + S-shapped)
 - \rightarrow Hiss to KHF-Hissy
 - \rightarrow Chorus to KHF-D (discrete) and KHF-R (round)
- When separated by similar type of emission, the occurrence rate for KHF and accompanying VLF waves follow very similar trends



12 UT [hours] with MLT=UT+1.5

00

06

18

23

Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Occurrence by type

- We compared the lower frequency waves observed at the same time as the 'unusual' KHF waves.
- When separated by similar type of emission, the occurrence rate for KHF and accompanying VLF waves follow very similar trends
- \rightarrow Both KHF and usual VLF emissions with similar characteristics are being generated at the same time in the magnetosphere.

KHF are <u>**not</u>** ionospheric in nature but generated by temperature anisotropy like usual VLF emissions.</u>





Rate = occurrence * 100 / total available hours

Occurrence by type

- We compared the lower frequency waves observed at the same time as the 'unusual' KHF waves.
- When separated by similar type of emission, the occurrence rate for KHF and accompanying VLF waves follow very similar trends
- \rightarrow Both KHF and usual VLF emissions with similar characteristics are being generated at the same time in the magnetosphere.

KHF are **not** ionospheric in nature but generated by temperature anisotropy like usual VLF emissions.

- \rightarrow are these waves coming from the same source ?
- \rightarrow how are they propagating to KAN?



12

UT [hours] with MLT=UT+1.5

18

23

00

06

Statistical Results / Frequencies

Frequency distribution

Maximum frequency is less variable while central and minimum frequency shows more variability.



Statistical Results / Frequencies

Frequency distribution

Maximum frequency is less variable while central and minimum frequency shows more variability.

Slight upwards trend for all frequencies \rightarrow global mean increases with MLT

Is it possible that the source region gets closer to the Earth in afternoon MLT?



Variability due to low cases

24

24

24

18

18

18

Relationship with AE index ?

Some correlation between KHF occurrence and substorm activity however, <u>not systematic</u>.

 \rightarrow likely KHF are generated by standard anisotropy but can only reach the ground under some conditions

Statistical Results / Propagation

Relationship with AE index ?

Some correlation between KHF occurrence and substorm activity however, <u>not systematic</u>.

 \rightarrow likely KHF are generated by standard anisotropy but can only reach the ground under some conditions

Relationship with magnetic field?

Superposed epoch analysis of X, Y, Z component from magnetometer at Sodankyla (~ 40 km from KAN).

 \rightarrow KHF are detected when the magnetic field has a local minimum, suggesting propagation of KHF to the ground might be only when magnetic activity is low.

Valid for magnetic field variations in 2017, however I still need to check for 2018–2020 data!



Ray Tracing / Propagation

KAN-ERG conjugated event

We have one case of a 2-min burst showing 1-to-1 correspondence between ERG and KAN. Also observed by LOZ (400 km E of KAN)!



I cannot stress enough how very preliminary these ray tracing results are!

[Thanks to M. Hanzelka (IAP) for the ray tracing program]



In a nutshell: KHF are generated like usual VLF waves but propagate during quiet times and local minimum magnetic field... but how?

Thank you! Questions? Comments?

Relationship with AE index ?

Some correlation between KHF occurrence and substorm activity however, <u>not systematic</u>. \rightarrow likely KHF are generated by standard anisotropy but can only reach the ground under some conditions



Extra / Frequency

